Synthesis and Photophysical Properties of a Deazaflavin-Bridged Porphyrinatoiron(III) That Mimics the Interaction of a Deazaflavin Inhibitor with the Heme-Thiolate Cofactor of Cytochrome P450 3A4

by Michael A. Müller^a), Martin Gaplovsky^b), Jakob Wirz^{*b}), and Wolf-D. Woggon^{*a})

a) Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 19, CH-4056 Basel

b) Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 80, CH-4056 Basel

Cytochrome P450 3A4 metabolizes a majority of administered therapeutic agents in the human liver. We recently reported the synthesis of a new inhibitor, 1, whose binding to and displacement from the active site of CYP 3A4 can be conveniently followed by the associated changes in fluorescence intensity. Here we report the synthesis of a bichromophoric compound, 6, in which deazaflavin was strapped over the distal side of a porphyrinatoiron(III) complex to mimic the envisaged enzyme–inhibitor interaction within the active site. Femtosecond pump–probe and fluorescence spectroscopies were used to study the photophysical processes of 6. Rapid intramolecular energy transfer and enhanced intersystem-crossing processes induced by the high-spin Fe^{III} central ion are responsible for the complete suppression of deazaflavin fluorescence in 6. Fluorescence quenching is less efficient in the iron-free analogue of 6, i.e., in 21.

1. Introduction. – Cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP 3A4), a heme–thiolate protein, is one of the most important P450s in the human liver [1]. The ability of CYP 3A4 to metabolize a majority of administered therapeutic agents accounts for the large number of documented drug–drug interactions associated with CYP 3A4 inhibition [2]. It is therefore of great importance to know the affinity of new drug candidates to CYP 3A4 at an early stage, in order to determine their potential as inhibitors, and to evaluate their influence on the metabolism of co-administered drugs. Some particular features of CYP 3A4 make it difficult to achieve this goal. Though X-ray structures are now available from truncated CYP 3A4 [3], these pictures provide no clues on how the enzyme accepts substrates as different as, e.g., erythromycin and nifedipine, how the active site accommodates more than one substrate [4], and why partial inhibition and even activation is observed when pairs of drugs are co-incubated [5].

Our group has recently reported [6] the synthesis of a new inhibitor 1 that displayed favourable IC_{50} values in the range of 1–4 μ M for three characteristic substrates of CYP 3A4 such as testosterone (2), midazolam (3), and nifedipine (4) (Fig. 1). The binding of 1 to the active site of CYP 3A4 and its displacement by other substrates can be followed by the associated change in the intensity of the deazaflavin fluorescence [7], such that a screening for drugs that might cause drug–drug interactions requires neither the determination of substrate turnover nor product analyses.

From these experiments, it was suggested [6] that the fluorescence of 1 is quenched upon binding to CYP 3A4 due to an interaction such as that shown in structure 5 of the

^{© 2006} Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

Fig. 1. The new inhibitor 1, substrates $2-4$ [8], and the envisaged orientation of 1 towards the cofactor of CYP 3A4 as shown in structure 5

Fig. 1. We now report our efforts to understand the origin of this fluorescence quenching by means of the model system 6 (see Scheme).

2. Results and Discussion. – The target molecule 6 contains a thiolato ligand, which coordinates to iron(III) and mimics the cysteine S^- ion of native P450s [1]. The opposite face of the porphyrin is strapped with the deazaflavin chromophore ca . 4.5 \AA above the porphyrin plane; the complete system models the envisaged interaction of the fluorescent chromophore of the inhibitor 1 with the cofactor of CYP 3A4, as in 5.

The porphyrin 7 was prepared as described previously [9]. The synthesis of the deazaflavin strap started with commercially available 8 and 9, which were converted to 10 and 11, respectively, by adaptation of known procedures [10], the latter via intermediates 12– 15. Condensation of 10 and 11 furnished 16, which was cyclized to the deazaflavin derivative 17. N-Alkylation of 17 led to 18 [11] which, after saponification [12], gave the diacid 19 that was converted to the activated ester 20, ready for macrocyclization with the diamino-porphyrin 7. Iron was inserted into the resulting doubly bridged porphyrin 21 to form the desired product 6 (Scheme).

No fluorescence was detected from a solution of the porphyrinatoiron(III) 6 in MeCN by using a conventional fluorescence spectrometer. This result, though it did confirm the concept, nevertheless came as a surprise, because binding of 1 to cytochrome P450 3A4 leaves a residual fluorescence of ca. 20% of the intensity of that of 1 in the absence of enzyme [6]. Three intramolecular processes for fluorescence quenching may be envisaged to occur in the dyad $\bf{6}$ [13]: *i*) resonance energy transfer, $ii)$ electron transfer, and $iii)$ enhanced intersystem crossing (ISC) induced by the highspin Fe^{III} centre. The last process is not operative in iron-free 21.

The fluorescence properties of deazaflavin 18, porphyrin 7, and dyad 21 in degassed MeCN solution were recorded with a streak camera following sub-picosecond excitation at 388 or 310 nm. The measured lifetimes are collected in Table 1 together with the wavelengths of selected absorption and emission maxima.

	Absorption	Fluorescence				
	$\lambda_{\rm max}/\rm nm$	emission $\lambda_{\rm max}/\rm{nm}$	excitation $\lambda_{\rm max}/\rm{nm}$	lifetime τ /ns $(475 \text{ nm})^{\text{a}}$	lifetime τ /ns $(698~nm)^{b}$	
18	265, 339, 403	475	403	3.0 ± 0.2		
7	414, 509, 544, 576, 630	631, 698	414		$10.3 + 0.4$	
21	348, 414, 510, 544, 577, 634	635, 700	414		$2.3 + 0.2$	
$18+7^{\circ}$)				2.2 ± 0.2	9.4 ± 0.4	

Table 1. Absorption and Emission Properties of 18, 7, and 21 in Degassed MeCN

^a) Deazaflavin unit. ^b) Porphyrin unit. ^c) 10^{-3} M each.

We introduce the following notation to characterize the electronic states of the dyads 21 and 6: The letter P is used for the porphyrin moiety, P_{Fe} for porphyrinatoiron(III), and F for the deazaflavin unit, thus, $21 = P - F$ and $6 = P_{Fe} - F$. The lowest locally excited singlet and triplet states of the P and F moieties are designated as ${}^{1}P^*$

a) PBr_3 , Et_2O , r.t. \rightarrow 50 $^\circ$, 1 h. b) NaH, CH₂(COOMe)₂, DMSO, 0 $^\circ \rightarrow$ r.t., 45 min, 12, r.t., 45 min. c) LiI, DMF/H₂O 9:1, 160°, 7 h. d) 5% Pd/C, H₂, 1 bar, EtOH, r.t., 4 h. e) NaH, MeI, r.t., 45 min. f) DMF, POCl₃, 0°, 15 min, 8, 100°, 4 h. g) CH₂Cl₂, 100°, 5 min. h) CF₃COOH (98%), 60°, 1 h. i) K_2CO_3 , BrCH₂COOMe, DMF, r.t., 10 h. j) LiOH·H₂O, THF/H₂O 3:2, r.t., 1 h. k) N-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl), C₆F₅OH, DMF, r.t., 1 h. l) 20 (2.5 equiv.), dry DMF, 100°, 4 h; 37%. m) 2,6-Lutidine (20 equiv.), FeBr₂ (14 equiv.), dry toluene, 120°, 45 min; 89%.

 C_6F_5OOC

Ö 20

and ${}^{3}P^*$ and ${}^{1}F^*$ and ${}^{3}F^*$, respectively. Charge-transfer states of dyad 21 are denoted as P^{+} - F^{-} and P^{-} - F^{+} .

The fluorescence lifetimes measured at the two emission maxima of the metal-free porphyrin 7 (631 and 698 nm) were equal and independent of the excitation wavelength. Emission from the locally excited deazaflavin chromophore of the iron-free dyad 21, $P - {}^{1}F^*$, was not detectable. Following excitation of the deazaflavin chromophore of 21, *i*) resonance energy transfer to the porphyrin forming ${}^{1}P^* - F$, or *ii*) electron transfer yielding the charge-separated state P^+ – F^- may be considered. The fluorescence emission of deazaflavin 18, λ_{max} 475 nm, overlaps well with the Q-band absorption of porphyrin 7, λ_{max} 509 nm (*Fig. 2*). Thus, intramolecular energy transfer between the two chromophores of 21, i.e., from locally excited deazaflavin to the porphyrin moiety, $P - {}^{1}F^{*} \rightarrow {}^{1}P^{*} - F$, is expected to be rapid.

Fig. 2. Spectral overlap between the Q-band absorbance A (---) of porphyrin $7 (1 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ m}, 1 \text{-cm}$ path length) and the (uncorrected) fluorescence emission $(---)$ of deazaflavin diester 18. The absorbance A (\cdots) of 18 ($1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ M, 1-cm path length) is shown on the left.

The reverse energy transfer ${}^{1}P^* - F \rightarrow P - {}^{1}F^*$ cannot occur because this would be highly endothermic. Yet, the fluorescence lifetime of dyad 21 in the porphyrin locally excited state ${}^{1}P^* - F$ is much shorter than that of porphyrin 7. Also, diffusional quenching of the fluorescence of 7 is observed when 10^{-3} M deazaflavin 18 is added to the solution (Table 1). These quenching processes are attributed to electron transfer, ${}^{1}P^{\ast}-F \rightarrow P^{+}$ $- F^{-}$. Similar behavior has been reported for porphyrin–quinone systems [14]; their fluorescence lifetimes increase by an order of magnitude when the quinone moieties are reduced. To evaluate the energetic feasibility of electron transfer processes in 21, we determined electrochemical potentials E° in MeCN (*Table 2*). The standard reduction potentials of the quinones in the porphyrin–quinone cyclophanes, $E^{\circ}(Q)$ Q^{-})=-0.72 to -1.45 V [15], are comparable to that of deazaflavin 18, $E^{\circ}(F)$ $(F^{-1}) = -0.98$ V.

Table 2. Standard Electrochemical Potentials E° (vs. SCE) of Compounds 6, 7, 18, and 21 Determined by Differential Pulse Voltammetry in MeCN with 0.1M LiClO₄. P=porphyrin moiety, F=deazaflavin unit.

	Redox couple	E°/V		Redox couple	E°/V
18	$E^{\circ}(\mathrm{F}^{+}\mathrm{H})$	$ca. + 1.93a$)	21	$E^{\circ}(\mathbf{P}^{+}\mathbf{P})$	$+0.73$
	$E^{\circ}(\mathrm{F/F^{-1}})$ [16]	-0.98		$E^{\circ}(\mathrm{F/F^{-1}})$	-0.91
	$E^{\circ}(\mathbf{P}^{+}\mathbf{P})$	$+0.68$		$E^{\circ}(\text{P/P}^{-1})$	-1.31
	$E^{\circ}(\text{P/P}^{-1})$	-1.07	6	$E^{\circ}(\mathbf{P}^{+}\mathbf{P})$	$+0.84$
				$E^{\circ}(\mathrm{F/F^{-1}})$	-1.10
				$E^{\circ}(\text{P/P}^{-1})$	-1.55
^a) Irreversible.					

Combination of these data with the excitation energy of $21¹$) shows that intramolecular electron transfer from the locally excited porphyrin of dyad 21 , $P^* - F$, to generate the charge transfer state P^{\dagger} -F⁻ is energetically feasible: $\{E^{\circ}(P^{\dagger}$ g $)$ - $E^{\circ}(F)$ $(F^{-})\{e-E_{0-0}(P^{*}-F) = [0.73-(-0.91)-1.96\} \text{ eV} = -0.32 \text{ eV}^2$. On the other hand, the reverse electron transfer, ${}^{1}P^* - F \rightarrow P^{-} - F^{+}$, would be strongly endothermic: ${E^{\circ}(F^{+}{}'F) - E^{\circ}(P/P^{-})\e - E_{0-0}(^{1}P^{*} - F)} = {1.93 - (+1.31) - 1.96} \text{ eV} = +1.28 \text{ eV}.$ An energy diagram for the deactivation processes occurring after excitation of 21 is shown in *Fig.* 3.

Fig. 3. Energy diagram and possible deactivation processes following excitation of the deazaflavinbridged porphyrin 21. P=porphyrin moiety, $F=$ deazaflavin unit.

¹) The positions of the first absorption and emission bands (634 and 635 nm, resp., *Table 1*) define the energy $E_{0-0}({}^{1}P^* - F)$ as 1.96 eV.

²) The *Coulomb* attraction *C* for stabilization of the charge-transfer complex $P^+ - F^-$ is neglected: $C/e \approx 14.4/(\varepsilon R)$ V/pm ≈ 0.04 V, assuming an average distance between the charges $R \approx 500$ pm and a dielectric constant ε = 100 for MeCN.

Fluorescence emission from the charge-transfer state P^+ – F^- is not observed, presumably due to the low transition moment for radiative back electron transfer. The pump–probe spectra of 7, 21, and 18 shown in Fig. 4 appear within the laser pulse. Assignment of the transient spectrum obtained with 21 to the locally excited singlet ${}^{1}P^*$ – F is strongly supported by its similarity with that obtained with the porphyrin 7 and by the fact that its lifetime of ca. 2.5 ns is consistent with the fluorescence lifetime of 21.

Fig. 4. Pump–probe spectra taken 1 ps after excitation of porphyrin 7 (--), 21 (---), and deazaflavin 18 $(---)$ in MeCN

We now turn to the dyad 6 with the porphyrinatoiron(III) complex, which did not exhibit any fluorescence, so that information could only be gained from the transient absorption spectra $(Fig. 5)$. Immediately after the excitation, two main features of the porphyrin excited state, namely strong bleaching at 405 nm and absorption at 460 nm, appeared. These features were similar to those obtained with the iron-free dyad **21** (*Fig. 4*). The subsequent changes were, however, complex and differed substantially from those observed with 21.

Previous studies [17] have shown that excited porphyrinatoiron(III) complexes relax very rapidly (ca. 50 fs) to their ground states, presumably due to the presence of several low-lying charge-transfer states. Franzen and co-workers recently reported that ultra-fast iron-to-porphyrin charge transfer is initiated by excitation of haemoglobins [18]. Porphyrin-to-iron back charge transfer subsequently produces a low-lying electronic state with a non-equilibrium d-orbital population on the Fe-atom. Such charge-transfer reactions may also occur in 6. Moreover, the pump pulse initially excites both chromophores of 6. The spectra detected immediately after the excitation of 6 are thus attributed to a combination of porphyrin and deazaflavin excited singlet states with, possibly, a contribution from an iron-to-porphyrin charge-transfer state.

The strong bleaching at 405 nm, which is due to depletion of the ground state of 6, partially (ca. 50%) recovers rapidly, $\tau \approx 750$ fs, and then more slowly, $\tau \approx 11$ ps (ca.

Fig. 5. Pump–probe spectra taken at various delays after excitation of 6 in MeCN at 288 nm

40%). Full recovery of the porphyrin ground state takes longer than 2 ns. The very broad initial absorption ranging from 430 to 650 nm decays within 200 fs around 500 nm, while a new broad band appears around 570 nm with a risetime of *ca*. 750 fs. Porphyrin triplets are known to exhibit broad absorbance centred around 600 nm [19]. We therefore attribute the long-lived, 570-nm transient to the porphyrin triplet state of 6, ${}^{3}P_{Fe}^{\ast}$ – F, and the 750-fs kinetics to the lowest singlet state of 6, ${}^{1}P_{Fe}^{\ast}$ – F, which decays by a combination of ISC to ${}^{3}P_{Fe}^*$ – F and radiationless conversion [17] [18]. No significant signal was detected at 680 nm, where the porphyrin radical cation usually displays its absorbance [19–21], *i.e.*, formation of a $P_{Fe}^{\text{+}+}$ –F^{-•} charge-transfer state was not observed.

All synthetic porphyrinatoiron(III) complexes carrying a thiolato ligand coordinated to the Fe-atom are high-spin [22]. The unusually fast intersystem crossing of ${}^{1}\text{P}_{\text{Fe}}$ * – F is, therefore, attributed to a substantial interaction of the d-electrons of Fe^{III} with the unpaired electrons of the porphyrin singlet state ${}^{1}P_{Fe}^{*}$ – F.

Our tentative assignments of the observed processes are summarized in the energy diagram of Fig. 6. Here, the energy of the deazaflavin triplet, $P_{Fe} - {}^{3}F^{*}$, $E_{0-0} = 2.58$, was assumed to be equal to that of 3,10-dimethyl-5-deazaflavin [23] and that of the porphyrin triplet, ${}^{3}P_{Fe}{}^* - F$, equal to that of tetraarylporphyrinatometals, E_{0-0} = 1.50 [24].

3. Conclusions. – Compound 6 was studied as a model system for the interaction of the deazaflavin inhibitor 1 and native CYP 3A4. To mimic the enzyme–inhibitor interaction within the active site, deazaflavin was strapped over the distal side of a porphyrinatoiron(III). A new synthetic approach for 8-alkylated deazaflavins was developed.

Fig. 6. Energy diagram and radiationless transitions following excitation of the deazaflavin-bridged *iron porphyrin* 6. P=porphyrin moiety, F=deazaflavin unit.

Compound 6 was synthesized in 26 steps comprising the synthesis of diamino–porphyrin 7, followed by coupling with deazaflavin active ester 18 to provide the ironfree compound 21 and, after iron(III) insertion, the model compound 6. A study of the electrochemical and the time-resolved optical properties of compounds 21 and 6 showed that the mechanism of fluorescence quenching differs strongly in the two dyads. Radiationless deactivation of the locally excited deazaflavin of the iron-free compound 21, P $-{}^{1}\text{F}^{*}$, proceeds either by electron transfer forming a charge-separated state, P^{+} - F^{-} , or by energy transfer to the porphyrin unit, ${}^{1}P^{*}$ -F, or both.

The presence of a high spin, paramagnetic iron(III) is responsible for the complete suppression of fluorescence in model compound 6. It is suggested that the locally excited state ${}^{1}P_{Fe}^*$ – F, that is formed by excitation of the porphyrin moiety of 6 and, in part, by energy transfer from $P_{Fe} - {}^{1}\text{F}^*$, has a lifetime of only 750 fs due to rapid radiationless relaxation and enhanced ISC to ${}^{3}P_{Fe}^{*}-F$.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

Experimental Part

General. Chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Sigma, or Fluka and were used without further purification, if not otherwise stated. Solvents were purified by standard procedures. TLC: Merck precoated silica gel 60 F_{254} glass plates (0.25-mm layer); Merck RP-18 F_{254} glass plates (0.25-mm layer). Column chromatography (CC): Merck silica gel $60(0.04 - 0.063$ mm); visualization by UV light (254 nm) and fluorescence (366 nm); FC=flash chromatography. UV/VIS Spectra: Hewlett-Packard 8452A spectrophotometer; λ in nm (ε) . Fluorescence spectra: ISA Jobin Yvon-Spex Fluoromax-2; λ in nm. IR Spectra: Perkin-Elmer FTIR-1600 spectrophotometer; absorptions in cm^{-1} . 1H - (250, 400, 500, and 600 MHz) and $13C-NMR$ (400 and 500 MHz) Spectra: in CDCl₃, Bruker av250, DPX-NMR, Bruker DRX-500, and Bruker DRX-600 instruments; at 298 K if not otherwise stated; δ in ppm, coupling constants J in Hz. EI- or FAB-MS: Varian-VG-70-250 or Bruker Esquire3000+ for ESI-MS; in m/z (rel. %). Elemental analysis: Perkin-Elmer 240 analyzer.

Picosecond Pump–Probe Spectroscopy. Ti : Sa Laser system Clark MXR CPA-2001 (775 nm, pulse energy 0.8 mJ, full width at half maximum (fwhm) 150 fs, operating frequency 426 Hz). Part of the beam was fed into a Clark-MXR NOPA. The output at 540 nm was frequency-doubled to 270 nm and, after compression, provided pump pulses with an energy of $1 \mu J$ and $\lt 100$ fs pulse width. A probe beam continuum (300 – 680 nm) was produced by focusing part of the 775-nm beam 1 mm in front of a $CaF₂$ crystal of 2 mm path length. The detection system has been described [25]. Two-photon absorption is observed when the pump and probe pulses coincide at the sample. The *fwhm* of the two-photon absorption in $H₂O$ was 180 fs, which provides a measure of the time resolution of the detection system. Typically, several hundred spectra were collected and averaged for each delay. The translation stage allowed for a maximum time delay of 1.8 ns for the probe beam.

Time-Resolved Fluorescence Spectroscopy. Hamamatsu-C5680 streak camera equipped with a with Chromex-250IS polychromator unit. Excitation laser pulses (pulse length 140 fs) centred at 310 nm were provided by frequency doubling of the Clark-MXR-NOPA output. The excitation beam was focused into the 1-mm sample cell under 45° angle, providing front-side excitation. Incident fluorescence light was collimated and then focused into the polychromator. The C5680 unit recorded the time and spectrally resolved fluorescence. The optical grating was chosen to allow recording fluorescence spectra in 300-nm windows from 250 to 1100 nm. Typically, 500 scans were averaged after electronic jitter and background corrections. A reflection of the excitation laser beam was recorded for use in a reconvolution procedure, which improved the lifetime resolution. All samples for the time-resolved spectroscopy were carefully degassed by four freeze-pump-thaw cycles to a final pressure of ca . 10^{-4} Torr.

Differential Pulse Voltammetry. Eco-Chemie-BV- μ Autolab type II potentiostat; data were collected by a three-electrode technique comprising a working electrode (glassy carbon), a counter electrode (Ptnet), and a reference electrode (Ag-wire). Electrolyte: $0.1M$ LiClO₄ in degassed MeCN. Ferrocene (Fc⁺/ $Fc = +0.41$ V vs. SCE (saturated calomel electrode) in MeCN [26]) was used as an internal reference. Standard electrode potentials E° are given vs. SCE; for conversion to NHE (normal hydrogen electrode) add 0.241 V [27]. All compounds except deazaflavin gave reversible waves for the potentials quoted.

2,4,6-Trichloropyrimidine-5-carboxaldehyde (10). To DMF (9.6 ml, 124.8 mmol), POCl₃ (48 ml, 524.2 mmol) was added dropwise at 0° . After 15 min stirring at 0° , 8 (8.02 g, 62.4 mmol) was added. After 4 h stirring at 100 $^{\circ}$, the mixture was directly evaporated. The residue was dissolved in ice-cooled H₂O. The precipitate was filtered, washed with H₂O, and purified by FC (CH₂Cl₂): 7.82 g (59%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 131 – 132°. R_f 0.48 (CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 2833.7w, 1706.3s, 1525.9s 1499.0s, 1299.5m, 1118.7m, 885.9m. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 10.41 (s, 1 H). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 184.95; 164.433; 123.97. EI-MS: 208.9 (100, $[M+1]^+$), 173.9 (10, $[M-\text{Cl}]^+$), 146.9 (5), 120 (11), 85 (23). Anal. calc. for C₅HCl₃O (211.44): C 28.40, H 0.48, N 13.25, O 7.57; found: C 28.45, H 0.49, N 13.19, O 7.70.

1-(Bromomethyl)-2-methyl-3-nitrobenzene (12). To a soln. of 9 (20.0 g, 120 mmol) in Et₂O (200 ml), PBr_3 (18.6 ml, 197 mmol) was added dropwise at r.t. After stirring 1 h at 50 $^{\circ}$, the soln. was cooled to r.t. and hydrolyzed with H_2O (50 ml). The aq. layer was extracted with Et₂O, the combined org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue purified by FC (CH₂Cl₂): 12 (27.4 g, 99%). Light yellow crystals. M.p. $42-43^\circ$. R_f 0.74 (CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 3079.5w, 1525.5s, 1454.0m, 1357.2s. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 7.71 (d, J=8.1, 1 H); 7.54 (d, J=7.6, 1 H); 7.30 (t, J=8.1, 1 H); 4.54 (s, 2 H); 2.51 (s, 3 H).

 $13C-NMR$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 151.96; 139.07; 134.31; 131.97; 127.24; 124.78; 30.99; 14.87. EI-MS 229.0 (6, $(M^+), 214.0$ (6, $[M-Me]^+$), 183.0 (1, $[M-NO_2]^+$), 150.1 (100, $[M-Br]^+$), 132.1 (7), 103.1 (42), 77.1 (20), 51.0 (11). Anal calc. for $C_8H_8BrNO_2$ (230.06): C 41.77, H 3.51, N 6.09; found: C 41.76, H 3.30, N 6.04.

Dimethyl 2-[(2-Methyl-3-nitrophenyl)methyl]propanedionate (13). To a soln. of NaH (13.1 g, 328 mmol) in DMSO (150 ml), $CH₂(COOMe)$, (38 ml, 328 mmol) was added dropwise under cooling in an ice bath. Then 12 (15.1 g, 65.6 mmol) was added at r.t. within 45 min. The soln. was poured into ice-cooled H₂O (200 ml). The precipitate was filtered, washed with H₂O, and dried in vacuo: **13** (10.7 g, 58%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 81–83°. R_f 0.40 (CH₂Cl₂). IR (KBr): 2953.1w, 2360.2m, 1731.0s, 1531.3s, 1434.9s, $1355.6s$, $1293.3m$, $1228.0m$, $1159.6m$, $1020.7m$. $H-NMR$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.63 (dd, ³J = 8.1, ⁵J = 1.0, 1 H); 7.38 (d, J=7.1, 1 H); 7.24 (d, J=7.8, 1 H); 3.71 (s, 6 H); 3.65 (t, J=7.6, 1 H); 3.33 (d, J=7.6, 2 H); 2.45 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 169.16; 151.95; 139.23; 134.04; 130.90; 126.81; 123.23; 53.17; 52.20; 32.67; 15.10. FAB-MS 282 (100, [M+H]⁺), 265 (7), 250 (14), 218 (36), 150 (20), 137 (30). Anal. calc. for C₁₃H₁₅NO₆: C 55.51, H 5.38, N 4.98, O 34.13; found: C 55.55, H 5.25, N 4.95, O 33.97.

Methyl 2-Methyl-3-nitropbenzenepropanoate (14). To a soln. of 13 (9.3 g, 33 mmol) in DMF (50 ml) and H₂O (5 ml), LiI (13.2 g, 98 mmol) was added. After stirring 7 h at 160° , the soln. was cooled to r.t. and hydrolyzed with $H₂O$ (300 ml). The aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the combined org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue purified by FC (AcOEt/hexane 2:1): **14** (5.6 g, 76%). Yellow oil. R_f 0.37 (AcOEt/hexane 2:1). IR (NaCl): 3088.9w, 3000.0w, 2952.9m, 1737.5s, 1605.6w, 1527.4s, 1438.1m, 1355.7s, 1294.4m, 1261.1m, 1211.1m, 1171.9m, 1088.1m, 1027.0w, 988.9w, 905.6w, 877.8w, 833.3w, 806.7w, 772.0w, 733.4m. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.52 (dd, ³J=8.1, ⁵J=1.0, 1 H); 7.33 (d, $J=7.1, 1 \text{ H}$); 7.18 (t, $J=7.8, 1 \text{ H}$); 3.62 (s, 3 H); 2.98 (t, $J=8.1, 2 \text{ H}$); 2.55 (t, $J=8.1, 2 \text{ H}$); 2.35 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 172.99; 151.83; 141.92; 133.19; 130.42; 126.78; 122.53; 52.06; 40.32; 28.93; 14.89. EI-MS: 223.0 (3, M^{+}), 206.1 (19), 192.1 (17, $[M - OMe]^{+}$), 174.1 (76), 164.1 (41, [M – COOMe]⁺), 146.1 (49), 132.1 (100), 115.1 (28), 103.1 (30), 91.1 (44), 77.1 (25), 59.1 (17), 39.1 (14). Anal. calc. for $C_{11}H_{13}NO_4$ (223.23): C 59.19, H 5.87, N 6.27, O 28.67; found: C 58.96, H 5.82, N 6.26, O 28.81.

Methyl 3-Amino-2-methylbenzenepropanoate (15). A mixture of 5% Pd/C, 14 (5.4 g, 24.3 mmol), and 95% EtOH (100 ml) was shaken for 4 h under H₂ at r.t. The soln. was filtered over *Celite*, the latter washed with EtOH, and the filtrate diluted with H₂O. The aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the combined org. phase dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, and the residue purified by FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1): 15 (3.6 g, 76%). Colorless crystals. M.p. $45-46^{\circ}$. R_f 0.33 (AcOEt/hexane 1:1). IR (KBr): 3452.9m, 3374.2m, 3002.9w, 2950.4m, 1731.1s, 1635.1m, 1587.1m, 1472.9m, 1437.0m, 1369.0m, 1291.0m, 1250.0m, 1195.1m, 1167.1m, 1083.0m, 782.8m. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.96 (t, J=7.6, 1 H); 6.63 (d, J=7.6, 1 H); 6.60 (d, J = 8.1, 1 H); 4.00 - 3.50 (br., 2 H); 3.69 (s, 3 H); 2.94 (t, J = 8.3, 2 H); 2.56 (t, J = 8.3, 2 H); 2.12 (s, 3 H). 13C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): 173.88; 144.94; 139.81; 126.82; 120.94; 120.20; 114.29; 52.01; 35.35; 29.54; 12.85. EI-MS 193.1 (100, M^{+}), 162.1 (16, $[M - OMe]^+$), 134.1 (68, $[M - COOMe]^+$), 120.1 (65, $[M-CH_2COOMe]^+$), 106.1 (34, $[M-CH_2CH_2COOMe]^+$), 77.1 (11). Anal calc. for $C_{11}H_{15}NO_2$ (193.25): C 68.37, H 7.82, N 7.25, O 16.56; found: C 68.25, H 7.77, N 7.15, O 16.60.

Methyl 2-Methyl-3-(methylamino)benzenepropanoate (11) . To a suspension of 15 (5.0 g, 25.9 mmol) and NaH (683 mg, 28.5 mmol) in dry DMF (75 ml), MeI (2 ml, 31 mmol) was added within 30 min at r.t. After stirring further 15 min at r.t., the sol. was diluted with H_2O , and 1M NaOH was added until a pH of ca. 11 was reached. The aq. layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , the combined org. phase dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated, and the residue purified by FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1): 11 (2.6 g, 64%). Light yellow oil. R_f 0.47 (AcOEt/hexane 1:1). IR (NaCl): 3438.4m, 2948.0m, 2360.8w, 1733.9s, 1590.2s, 1511.1m, 1477.9m, 1433.3m, 1361.1w, 1287.1m, 1160.3m, 1105.6w, 1027.8w, 778.2w. ¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.14 (t, $J=7.8, 1 \text{ H}$); 6.65 (d, $J=7.7, 1 \text{ H}$); 6.56 (d, $J=8.1, 1 \text{ H}$); 3.80 – 3.70 (br., 1 H); 3.73 (s, 3 H); 3.01 (t, $J=7.5, 2 H$); 2.93 (s, 3 H); 2.60 (t, $J=7.7, 2 H$); 2.12 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 173.97; 147.75; 139.10; 127.07; 120.20; 118.72; 108.45; 52.04; 35.54; 31.56; 29.77; 12.59. EI-MS 207.1 (100, M⁺), 176.1 (15, $[M-OMe]^+$), 160.0 (6), 148.1 (51, $[M-COOMe]^+$), 134.1 (32), 120.0 (36), 91.0 (9). Anal. calc. for $C_{12}H_{17}NO_2$ (207.27): C 69.54, H 8.27, N 6.76, O 15.44; found: C 69.06, H 8.30, N 6.81, O 15.15.

Methyl 3-[(5-Formyl-2,6-dichloropyrimidin-4-yl)methylamino]-2-methylbenzenepropanoate (16). To a soln. of 11 (1.00 g, 4.8 mmol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (25 ml), 10 (1.02 g, 4.8 mmol) was added in portions. After stirring for 5 min at 100° , the soln. was cooled to r.t., and H₂O was added, followed by 1M NaOH until a pH of ca. 11 was reached. The aq. layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , the combined org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue purified by FC (AcOEt/hexane 1:1): **16** (1.55 g, 85%). Colorless crystals. M.p. 83–85°. R_f 0.54 (AcOEt/hexane 1:1). IR (KBr): 2992.9w, 2949.9w, 2844.0w, 1739.8s, 1700.1s, 1437.0m, 1396.8m, 1341.1m, 1279.1m, 1234.6m, 1134.1m, 1091.3m, 1045.5m, 1021.8m, 982.3m, 885.5m. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 9.20 (br., 1 H); 7.20-7.10 (m, 2 H); 6.86 (br., 1 H); 3.69 (s, 3 H); 3.41 (br., 3 H); 2.99 (td, J = 7.1, 2.6, 2 H); 2.62 (td, J = 7.9, 3.4, 2 H); 2.18 (s, 3 arom. H). ¹³C-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): 185.48; 173.06; 162.16; 159.74; 143.74; 142.20; 140.05; 134.05; 134.00; 129.48; 127.82; 124.49; 51.81; 40.51; 34.13; 28.68; 13.98. EI-MS 381.0 (100, M^{+}), 366.0 (70, $[M-Me]^{+}$), 352.0 (80), 346.0 (43, $[M-Cl]^+$), 322.0 (58, $[M-COOMe]^+$), 306.0 (34), 294.0 (40, $[M-CH_2CH_2COOMe])$, 278.0 (52) , 264.0 (36), 115.0 (32), 91.1 (31). Anal. calc. for $C_{17}H_{17}C_{17}N_3O_3$ (382.25): C 53.42, H 4.48, N 10.99, O 12.56; found: C 53.48, H 4.45, N 10.93, O 12.27.

Methyl 8,9-Dimethyl-10-deazaisoalloxazine-7-propanoate (=Methyl 2,3,4,10-Tetrahydro-9,10 dimethyl-2,4-dioxopyrimido[4,5-b]quinoline-8-propanoate; 17). To 16 (1.42 g, 3.7 mmol), 98% $CF₃COOH (10 ml)$ was added. After stirring for 1 h at 60° , the soln. was cooled to r.t. and poured on ice-cooled H₂O (200 ml). The aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ until the layer was colorless, the combined org. phase dried (Na_5SO_4) , and evaporated, and the residue purified by FC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): 17 (1.18 g, 98%). Yellow crystals. M.p. $>$ 300 $^{\circ}$. R_f 0.31 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 269 (100), 343 (43), 404 (41). Fluor. (CHCl₃): 474. IR (KBr): 3144.4w, 3012.2w, 2800.0w, 2366.7w, 1737.1w, 1704.5m, 1664.4m, 1623.0s, 1594.4m, 1552.0m, 1527.7m, 1482.1w, 1413.7w, 1377.8w, 1344.4w, 1228.8m, 1175.2w. ¹ H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.84 (s, 1 H); 8.46 (br., 1 H); 7.71 (d, $J=8.2, 1$ H); 7.40 (d, $J=8.1, 1$ H); 4.15 (s, 3 H); 3.74 (s, 3 H); 3.22 (t, J=7.9, 2 H); 2.75 (t, J=7.5, 2 H); 2.67 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (500 MHz, CH₃-COOD): 174.73; 164.34; 161.33; 160.91; 151.75; 145.50; 145.31; 130.56; 128.31; 127.32; 123.74; 114.77; 52.68; 43.81; 34.54; 30.45; 18.98. EI-MS 327.1 $(37, M^+)$, 296.0 $(6, [M - OMe]^+)$, 268.1 $(100,$ $[M-COOMe]^+$), 254.0 (10, $[M-CH_2COOMe]^+$), 225.1 (9). Anal. calc. for C₁₇H₁₇N₃O₄ (327.34): C 62.38, H 5.23, N 12.84; found: C 62.00, H 5.31, N 12.26.

Methyl 3-[(Methoxycarbonyl)methyl]-8,9-dimethyl-10-deazaisoalloxazine-7-propanoate (=Methyl 2,3,4,10-Tetrahydro-3-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-9,10-dimethyl-2,4-dioxopyrimido[4,5-b]quinoline-8-propanoate; **18**). To a suspension of **17** (1.13 g, 3.5 mmol) and K₂CO₃ (2.67 g, 19.3 mmol) in dry DMF (15 ml), BrCH₂COOMe (3.2 ml, 34.5 mmol) was added. After stirring for 10 h at r.t., the clear soln. was diluted with H₂O. The aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue purified by FC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): **18** (900 mg, 65%). Yellow crystals. M.p. 218–220°. R_f 0.39 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5). UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 267 (100), 343 (35), 405 (31). Fluor. (CHCl₃): 477. IR (KBr): 2927.0m, 2367.2w, 1752.2s, 1733.3s, 1699.5m, 1650.0m, 1616.6s, 1531.7s, 1433.4s, 1369.8m, 1322.6w, 1209.5s, 1066.7w, 966.7w, 933.0w, 796.2m. ¹ H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): 8.77 (s, 1 H); 7.65 (d, $J=8.1, 1$ H); 7.33 (d, $J=8.1, 1$ H); 4.83 (s, 2 H); 4.07 (s, 3 H); 3.74 (s, 3 H); 3.69 (s, 3 H); 3.17 (t, $J=8.0, 2$ H); 2.71 (t, $J=8.0, 2$ H); 2.62 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): 172.54; 168.6; 161.69; 159.18; 156.39; 149.50; 144.03; 143.13; 129.10; 126.42; 125.21; 121.85; 52.38; 52.00; 42.71; 42.14; 33.85; 29.54; 18.96. EI-MS: 399.1 (57, M^{+}), 368.1 (12, $[M - OMe]^{+}$), 340.1 (100, $[M - COOMe]^{+}$), 280.1 (19, $[M-COOMe]⁺$, 225.1 (8). Anal. calc. for $C_{20}H_{21}N_3O_6$ (399.41): C 60.14, H 5.30, N 10.52, O 24.04; found: C 57.88, H 5.24, N 10.02, O 24.61.

3-(Carboxymethyl)-8,9-dimethyl-10-deazaisoalloxazine-7-propanoic Acid (=3-(Carboxymethyl)-2,3, 4,10-tetrahydro-9,10-dimethyl-2,4-dioxopyrimido[4,5-b]quinoline-8-propanoic Acid; 19). To a suspension of 18 (400 mg, 1 mmol) in THF/H2O 6 : 4 (10 ml), LiOH·H2O (210 mg, 5 mmol) was added. After stirring for 1 h at r.t., the soln. was diluted with H₂O (10 ml). Then 1M HCl was added until a pH of *ca*. 3 was reached and the soln. was concentrated. The residue was directly purified by reversed-phase CC (H₂O/MeCN 3:1): 19 (252 mg, 68%). Yellow crystals. M.p. 291-292°. R_f 0.74 (H₂O/MeCN 3:1). UV/ VIS (MeOH): 229(82), 264 (100), 343 (32), 401 (26). Fluor. (MeOH): 476. IR (KBr): 2933.3w, 2361.1s, 1727.8s, 1694.4s, 1670.3s, 1586.4s, 1536.4s, 1483.3m, 1452.4m, 1411.1m, 1377.8w, 1338.9w, 1311.1w, 1250.0w, 1208.3s, 927.4w. ¹H-NMR (250 MHz, CDCl₃): 13.00–12.00 (br., 2 H); 8.98 (s, 1 H); 7.91 (d, $J=7.9, 1$ H); 7.47 (d, $J=8.0, 1$ H); 4.55 (s, 2 H); 3.98 (s, 3 H); 3.08 (t, $J=7.4, 2$ H); 2.65 (t, $J=8.0, 2$ H); 2.62 (s, 3 H). ¹³C-NMR (500 MHz, (D₆)DMSO): 173.7; 169.6; 161.3; 158.9; 155.5; 150.3; 143.4; 143.0; 128.9; 126.2; 125.4; 121.5; 112.9; 42.1; 41.8; 33.6; 29.3; 18.4. EI-MS 371.2 (100, M⁺), 340.1 (12),

326.2 (57, [M - COOH]⁺), 312.2 (29, [M - CH₂COOH]⁺), 283.2 (28), 266.1 (45), 252.1 (9), 237.1 (9). Anal. calc. for $C_{19}H_{17}N_3O_6$ (371.35): C 58.22, H 4.61, N 11.32, O 25.85; found: C 57.62, H 4.73, N 11.05, O 26.32.

Pentafluorophenyl 3-{[(Pentafluorophenoxy)carbonyl]methyl}-8,9-dimethyl-10-deazaisoalloxazine-7-propanoate (=Pentafluorophenyl 2,3,4,10-Tetrahydro-9,10-dimethyl-2,4-dioxo-3-[2-oxo-2-(pentafluorophenoxy)ethyl]pyrimido[4,5-b]quinoline-8-propanoate; 20). To 19 (170 mg, 0.46 mmol) and EDC·HCl (263 mg, 1.37 mmol) in dry DMF (5 ml), C₆F₅OH (253 mg, 1.37 mmol) was added. After stirring for 1 h at r.t., the soln. was diluted with H₂O. The aq. layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, the combined org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) and concentrated, and the residue recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/hexane: 20 (267) mg, 83%). Yellow crystals. R_f 0.85 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1). UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 266 (100), 342 (3), 406 (33). Fluor. (CHCl3): 472. IR (KBr): 2926.7w, 1782.5m, 1700.3m, 1654.6s, 1628.6m, 1603.8w, 1559.7w, 1520.4s, 1419.8w, 1366,7w, 1314.5w, 1252.5w, 1148,3m, 1111.1m, 1001.2s, 796.3w. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.85 $(s, 1 H)$; 7.72 $(d, J=8.1, 1 H)$; 7.41 $(d, J=8.1, 1 H)$; 5.20 $(s, 2 H)$; 4.10 $(s, 3 H)$; 3.32 $(t, J=7.6, 2 H)$; 3.10 $(t, J=7.6, 2 H)$ $J=7.6$, 2 H); 2.68 (s, 3 H).

19-(tert-Butyl)-46-mercapto-41,43-dimethyl-45,47-(2,8,12,18-tetrabutyl-3,7,13,17-tetramethyl-21H, 23H-porphine-5,15-diyl)-13,25-dioxa-2,4,7,31,41-pentaazaheptacyclo[33.5.3.1^{4,40}.1^{8,12}.1^{17,21}.1^{26,30}.0^{38,42}]heptatetraconta-1,8,10,12(47),17,19,21(46),26,28,30(45),35,37,39,42-tetradecaene-3,6,32,44-tetrone (21). To a soln. of 7 (58 mg, 0.055 mmol) in dry and degassed DMF (1ml), 20 (97 mg, 0.138 mmol) was added. After stirring for 4 h at 100°, the soln. was cooled to r.t. and diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and sat. NaHCO₃ soln. The aq. layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 , the combined org. phase dried (Na₂SO₄) and evaporated, and the crude product purified by CC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95 : $5+1\%$ Et₃N): 28.0 mg **21** (37%). Pink solid. R_f 0.33 (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95 : 5+1% Et₃N). UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 269 (47), 346 (31), 415 (100), 513 (9), 546 (4), 583 (4). Fluor. (MeOH): 476. ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, toluene, 345 K): 10.14 (br., 2 H); 9.05 (d, J = 8.8, 1 H); 8.93 (d, $J=8.8$, 1 H); 8.77 (s, 1 H); 7.95 (s, 1 H); 7.70 (m, 2 H); 6.70 (m, 2 H); 6.15 – 6.05 (m, 2 H); 5.80 (d, $J=7.7, 1 \text{ H}; 4.46 \text{ (s, 2 H)}; 4.41 \text{ (s, 1 H)}; 4.18 \text{ (d, } J=7.9, 1 \text{ H}); 4.10-3.80 \text{ (m, 8 H)}; 3.27 \text{ (t, } J=4.6, 2 \text{ H});$ 3.17 (t, J = 4.4, 2 H); 2.74 (br., 12 H); 2.50 – 2.40 (m, 2 H); 2.40 – 2.10 (m, 8 H); 2.12 (s, 3 H); 1.90 – 1.70 $(m, 8 H)$; 1.18 $(t, J=7.1, 6 H)$; 1.10 $(t, J=7.3, 6 H)$; 1.05 – 0.95 $(m, 2 H)$; 0.84 $(s, 9 H)$; 0.70 $(m, 2 H)$; 0.57 (m, 2 H); 0.28 (s, 3 H); 0.26 (m, 2 H); 0.17 (m, 2 H); -2.57 (s, 1 H); -2.87 (s, 1 H); -3.02 (s, 1 H). ESI-MS (MeOH): 1386.5 (100, M^+).

[19-(tert-Butyl)-46-(mercapto-kS)-41,43-dimethyl-45,47-(2,8,12,18-tetrabutyl-3,7,13,17-tetramethyl- $21H,23H$ -porphine-5,15-divl-k N^{21} ,kN²²,kN²³,kN²⁴)-13,25-dioxa-2,4,7,31,41-pentaazaheptacyclo[33,5.3.1^{4,40}. $1^{8,12}.1^{17,21}.1^{26,30}.0^{38,42}$]heptatetraconta-1,8,10,12(47),17,19,21(46),26,28,30(45),35,37,39,42-tetradecaene-3,6,32, $44-tetronato(3-)liron$ (6). To 21 (7.8 mg, 5.6 µmol) in dry and degassed toluene (3 ml), 2,6-lutidine (=2,6dimethylpyridine; 13 µl, 0.112 mmol) was added. After heating to 120°, dry and degassed FeBr₂ (17.2 mg, 0.078 mmol) was added. After stirring for 45 min at 120° , the soln. was cooled to r.t., poured on Celite, and washed until the filtrate was colorless. The soln. was evaporated and the crude product purified by CC (toluene/THF 2 : 1): 6 (6.5 mg, 89%). Brown solid. R_f 0.41 (toluene/THF 2 : 1). UV/VIS (CHCl₃): 269 (68), 346 (49), 406 (100), 510 (br., 15). ESI-MS (MeOH): 1439.5 (50, M^{+}), 1462.5 (100, $[M+Na]^{+}$).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. R. Ortiz de Montellano, in 'Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism and Biochemistry', 2nd edn., Ed. P. R. Ortiz de Montellano, Plenum Press, New York, 1995.
- [2] J. Lilja, K. Kivisto, P. Neuvonen, Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 2000, 68, 384; J. H. Lin, A. Y. H. Lu, Pharmacol. Rev. 1997, 49, 403; F. P. Guengerich, Ann. Rev. Pharmacol. Tox. 1999, 1.
- [3] J. K. Yano, M. R. Wester, G. A. Schoch, K. J. Griffin, C. D. Stout, E. F. Johnson, J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 38091; P. A. Williams, J. Cosme, D. M. Vinkovic, A. Ward, H. C. Angove, P. J. Day, C. Vonrhein, I. J. Tickle, H. Jhoti, Science (Washington, D.C.) 2004, 305, 683.
- [4] N. A. Hosea, G. P. Miller, F. P. Guengerich, Biochemistry 2000, 39, 5929; M. J. Dabrowski, M. L. Schrag, L. C. Wienkers, W. M. Atkins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11866.
- [5] K. E. Kennworthy, S. E. Clarke, J. Andrews, J. B. Houston, Drug Metab. Dispos. 2001, 29, 1644.
- [6] A. Chougnet, C. Stoessel, W.-D. Woggon, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2003, 13, 3643.
- [7] M. Sun, P.-S. Song, Biochemistry 1973, 12, 4663.
- [8] R. W. Wang, D. J. Newton, N. Liu, W. M. Atkins, A. Y. H. Lu, Drug Metab. Disp. 2000, 28, 360.

- [9] H.-A. Wagenknecht, W.-D. Woggon, Angew. Chem. 1997, 109, 404; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1997, 36, 390.
- [10] F. Yoneda, S. Sakuma, M. Mizumoto, R. J. Ito, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1976, 1805; V. Askam, R. H. L. Deeks, J. Chem. Soc. 1969, 14, 1935; K. A. Schellenberg, J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 3259.
- [11] T. R. G. Edwards, D. Gani, *Tetrahedron* 1990, 46, 935.
- [12] B. Dayal, G. Salen, B. Toome, G. S. Tint, S. Shefer, J. Padia, Steroids 1990, 55, 233.
- [13] K. Petterson, K. Kilsa, J. Martensson, B. Albinsson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 6710.
- [14] D. Mauzerall, J. Weiser, H. Staab, Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 4807.
- [15] H. Heitele, F. Pöllinger, M. E. Michel-Beyerle, H. A. Staab, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 7402.
- [16] S. Fukuzumi, T. Tanaka, in 'Flavins and Deazaflavins in Photoinduced Electron Transfer', Eds. H. A. Fox, H. Chanon, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988, Pt. C, p. 636.
- [17] M. P. Irvine, R. J. Harrison, M. A. Strahand, G. S. Beddard, Ber. Bunsenges. 1985, 89, 226; K. D. Straub, P. M. Rentzepis, NATO ASI Series, Series C: Math. Phys. Sci. 1986, 184, 165.
- [18] S. Franzen, L. Kiger, C. Poyart, J.-L. Martin, Biophys. J. 2001, 80, 2372.
- [19] J. Andréasson, G. Kodis, T. Ljungdahl, A. L. Moore, T. A. Moore, D. Gust, J. Mårtensson, B. Albinsson, J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 8825.
- [20] T. Asahi, M. Ohkohchi, R. Matsusaka, N. Mataga, R. P. Zhang, K. Maruyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 5665.
- [21] K. Kilså, J. Kajanus, S. A. Larsson, A. N. Macpherson, J. Mårtensson, B. Albinsson, Chem.-Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2122.
- [22] W.-D. Woggon, H.-A. Wagenknecht, C. Claude, J. Inorg. Biochem. 2001, 83, 289; W.-D. Woggon, 'Topics in Current Chemistry', Vol. 184, Ed. F.-P. Schmidtchen, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1996, p. 40-96; B. Stäubli, H. Fretz, U. Piantini, W.-D. Woggon, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 1173.
- [23] M. Bliese, A. Launikonis, J. W. Loder, A. W.-H., Mau, W. H. F. Sasse, Aust. J. Chem. 1983, 36, 1873. [24] A. M. Brun, A. Harriman, V. Heitz, J.-P. Sauvage, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8657.
- [25] M. Gaplovsky, Y. V. Il'ichev, Y. Kamdzhilov, S. V. Kombarova, M. Mac, M. A. Schwörer, J. Wirz, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2005, 4, 33; S. A. Kovalenko, A. L. Dobryakov, J. Ruthmann, N. P. Ernsting, Phys. Rev. A 1999, 59, 2369.
- [26] A. M. Stolzenberg, M. T. Stershic, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6391.
- [27] A. J. Bard, R. Memming, B. Miller, Pure Appl. Chem. 1991, 63, 569.

Received June 26, 2006